

société de gestion collective des droits de reprographie des auteurs scolaires, scientifiques et universitaires

Dear Judge Chin,

JAN 29 2010

Réf.: MMM/GBS-Amicus curiae brief - 22012010-1

The Honorable Denny Chin **United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse** 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

RE: THE AUTHOR'S GUILD ET AL. V. GOOGLE, INC. - CASE NO. 1: 05-cv-08136 ELECTRONICALLY FILED

We respectfully request the court's permission to submit this letter as an amicus curiae brief opposing approval of the Amended Settlement Agreement in the above case.

Assucopie sccrl - Representing company of academic, scientific and university authors for the management of their reprography rights. The purpose of Assucopie is collecting, administering and distributing of reprographic rights of pedagogical, educational, academic, scientific and university authors.

When the Settlement Agreement was first announced in November 2008, Assucopie gave authors and publishers the opportunity to have Assucopie administer certain rights under the Settlement Agreement. The Author's Guild et al. V. Toogle Inc.

Assucopie appreciates that the parties to the case, by restricting the definition of "Book", have excluded many foreign authors and publishers from the scope of the Amended Settlement Class and have thus tried to meet the wishes of these rightsholders not to be drawn into a settlement agreement in which they were not represented. Nevertheless, the situation under the Amended Settlement Agreement is:

- Many Belgian authors and publishers still remain in the Amended Settlement Class.
- Even more of them are now left in doubt as to whether they fall into the Amended Settlement Class or not.

The Amended Settlement Agreement provides neither the Belgian authors nor publishers nor collecting societies with adequate tools for clarification of the actual status of a book. Therefore, Belgian authors and publishers still have a legitimate interest in this case, as does Assucopie in managing their members' rights under the Amended Settlement Agreement.

Tél.& Fax +32 (0)10 400426 E-mail info@assucopie.be Site web : www.assucopie.be

Siège social :

Rue Charles Dubois, 4/003 B 1342 - Ottignies-LLN Num. Entrepr. 466 710 748 **RPM Nivelles 846** TVA BE 466 710 748 Doc. 882

Capitalized words in this letter shall have the meaning as defined in the Amended Settlement Agreement.

Assucopie further accepts that at this point in time, objections to the terms of the Amended Settlement Agreement shall be limited to the provisions amended from the original Settlement Agreement. For the purpose of this amicus curiae brief, we shall limit ourselves in the same way. Each of the issues raised below results from the fact that the mechanism provided by the Amended Settlement Agreement for limiting the scope of the Amended Settlement Class falls short of effectively narrowing it to an English speaking territory.

1. DIFFICULTIES IN DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN AMENDED SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBERS AND NON-MEMBERS

The Amended Settlement Agreement does not provide an adequate test for distinguishing between authors and publishers who are part of the Amended Settlement Class and those who are not. As a collecting society, Assucopie is dependent on standardized procedures to keep administration costs down. Assucopie cannot engage in disputes over countless works. The Amended Settlement Agreement therefore jeopardizes Assucopie's service to Belgian authors and publishers to the extent that concerns their rights under the Amended Settlement Agreement. Individual authors and publishers exercising their rights under the Amended Settlement Agreement would also face the same obstacles as Assucopie.

The definition of the Amended Settlement Class turns on the definition of "Book" (cf. Sections 1.13, 1.19 and 1.75 of the Amended Settlement Agreement). The changes made to the definition of Book in the Amended Settlement Agreement were intended to narrow the class to include countries with a common legal heritage and similar book industry practices. However, there remain two significant groups of foreign works which, as a rule, continue to fall within the scope of the definition of Book, as set forth below.

1.1 Books registered with the United States Copyright Office

Even if not a United States work, as defined in 17 U.S.C. § 101, any written or printed work published on or before January 5, 2009 is a Book within the meaning of the Amended Settlement Agreement if such work was registered with the United States Copyright Office (Sec. 1.19 (c) (2) (x)).

For the rightsholders, it is impracticable to research which of their works have been registered, in particular in relation to the period before 1978. Until only a few days ago, the information on registrations and documents prior to 1978 was available only on catalog cards which may be inspected during the Copyright Office's opening hours². No online search is available for this time period. The average author and most foreign publishers will neither have the financial means to personally visit the Copyright Office nor to have the Copyright Office staff or a private service conduct a search against payment of an hourly fee. They were therefore not able to verify if a work is a Book within the definition of Sec. 1.19 of the Amended Settlement Agreement or not.

The same is true for Assucopie. Its data bases do not include any information as to whether a work was registered with the Copyright Office or not.

Tél.& Fax +32 (0)10 400426 E-mail <u>info@assucopie.be</u> Site web: <u>www.assucopie.be</u>

² United States Copyright Office, Circular 23, page 1. See www.copyright.gov/circs/circ23.pdf, visited on January 20, 2010. Secrétariat:

Only recently, Google claimed to have made available online 90 volumes of the Catalog of Copyright Entries it scanned from the Copyright Office Catalog.³ They appear to be searchable online through Google Books. This only highlights the fundamental problem arising from the Amended Settlement Agreement's approach in defining the Amended Settlement Class. In any case, the search options provided by Google are not suitable for efficient and automated rights clearing.

- (a) Rightsholders outside the United States have not been informed of the new search options. Yet the Amended Settlement Agreement holds them responsible for determining whether they are members of the Amended Settlement Class or not.
- (b) The new search option came very shortly before the deadline of January 28, 2010 for opting back in or opting out of the Amended Settlement Agreement. Rightsholders were not given sufficient time to check their data.
- (c) There is no link from the data base of Copyright Entries to any data base of Digitized Books.
- (d) It is not possible to run automated searches in the data base of Copyright Entries and automatically match search results with any other data base, e.g. a publisher's or a collecting society's data base.
- (e) Spot checks have revealed that the quality of the data base of Copyright Entries is poor. Misspellings occur.

1.2 Works published in Canada, the United Kingdom or Australia

Under Sec. 1.19 (c) (2) (y) of the Amended Settlement Agreement, even if the work is not registered with the United Copyright Office it is still a Book provided that it had a place of publication in Canada, the United Kingdom or Australia. According to the Amended Settlement Agreement, this shall be "evidenced by information printed in or on a hard copy of the work" including "for example, a statement that the Book was "published in [Canada] or [the UK] or [Australia]," or the location or address of the publisher in one of those three countries."

These criteria are so vague that there will innumerable cases of doubt in which Google and the rightsholder may argue either way.

2. CONTINUED DISCRIMINATION AGAINST BELGIAN RIGHTSHOLDERS

It has been shown that a significant number of Belgian authors and publishers remain within the scope of the Amended Settlement Class and a possibly even greater number of Belgian rightsholders are forced to give attention to the Amended Settlement Agreement due to the uncertainties of whether they are part of the Amended Settlement Class or not. Therefore, the systematic exclusion of Belgian representatives and the disregard of belgian rightsholders' needs raise further concerns.

2.1 Lack of French translation of the Amended Settlement Agreement

The Amended Settlement Agreement is available in English only. It is a complex 150-page legal document and therefore even someone fluent in everyday English as a foreign language will not be able to grasp all relevant details or to discuss these with legal counsel of his or her choice. Under these circumstances, the

Tél.& Fax +32 (0)10 400426 E-mail info@assucopie.be Site web: www.assucopie.be

Siège social :

TVA BE 466 710 748

³ See http://books.google.com/googlebooks/copyrightsearch.html, visited on January 20, 2010.

lack of an official French translation amounts to an intolerable discrimination of Belgian authors and publishers.

2.2 No proper representation of non-English speaking Rightsholders

It is equally discriminating that according to Sec. 6.2 (b) (ii), the Board of Directors of the Books Rights Registry shall be made up of at least one representative from the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom and Australia for each of the Sub-Classes, ignoring any other nation which may account for a significant proportion of either Sub-Class.

3. CONCLUSION

Assucopie therefore requests the Court to deny final approval of the Amended Settlement Agreement unless the following amendments are made:

- Google is required to provide, free of charge and formatted in a machine-readable fashion, a data base containing all Books, as defined in Sec. 1.19 of the Amended Settlement Agreement, written by Belgian authors or published by Belgian publishers. Such data base shall be for reference purposes only and the rightsholders should retain the right to prove that a work included in the data base is not a Book within the meaning of Sec. 1.19 of the Amended Settlement Agreement.
- Google is required to provide, free of charge, a French translation of the Amended Settlement Agreement which is as authoritative as the English version.
- Sec. 6.2 (b) (ii) of the Amended Settlement Agreement is further amended to include at least one belgian representative of the Author Sub-Class and one Belgian representative of the Publisher Sub-Class, or, alternatively, to include at least one representative for each nation in each Sub-Class in which this nation accounts for at least 5 % of the Rightsholders.
- Google to reveal its intention regarding the books which are not covered by the Amended d) Settlement.

Respectfully submitted,

Secrétariat

Tél.& Fax +32 (0)10 400426 E-mail info@assucopie.be Site web: www.assucopie.be

Siège social :

Capa+0:

and courier

By email:

Michael J. Boni, Esq. Joanne Zack, Esq. Joshua Snyder, Esq. Boni & Zack LLC 15 St. Asaphs Road Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004 United States of America Bookclaims@bonizack.com

Jeffrey P. Cunard, Esq. Bruce P. Keller, Esq. Debevoise & Plimpton LLP 919 third Avenue New York, NY 10022 United States of America Bookclaims@debevoise.com

Daralyn J. Durie, Esq.
Joseph C. Gratz, Esq.
Durie Tangri Lemley Roberts & Kent
LLP
332 Pine Street, Suite 200
San Francisco, CA 94104
United States of America
Bookclaims@durietangri.com

Secrétariat :

Tél.& Fax +32 (0)10 400426 E-mail <u>info@assucopie.be</u> Site web : <u>www.assucopie.be</u>

Siège social:

Rue Charles Dubois, 4/003 B 1342 - Ottignies-LLN Num. Entrepr. 466 710 748 RPM Nivelles 846